Imaging modes of atomic force microscopy for application in molecular and cell biology
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Atomic force microscopy (AFM) is a powerful, multifunctional imaging platform that allows biological samples, from single molecules to living cells, to be visualized and manipulated. Soon after the instrument was invented, it was recognized that in order to maximize the opportunities of AFM imaging in biology, various technological developments would be required to address certain limitations of the method. This has led to the creation of a range of new imaging modes, which continue to push the capabilities of the technique today. Here, we review the basic principles, advantages and limitations of the most common AFM bioimaging modes, including the popular contact and dynamic modes, as well as recently developed modes such as multiparametric, molecular recognition, multifrequency and high-speed imaging. For each of these modes, we discuss recent experiments that highlight their unique capabilities.

The invention of atomic force microscopy (AFM) in 19861 is a milestone in the history of nanotechnology2 and created new opportunities in physics, chemistry, biology and medicine. The technique contours a surface by controlling a conglomerate of forces acting between a tiny probe and the surface. Atomic-scale imaging was obtained within a year of invention1, but it took a few more years before atomic imaging of nonconductive surfaces in vacuum was achieved. At the same time, the technique began to be adapted to work over a vast temperature scale and in almost every environment3–5. The ability to investigate surfaces with an exceptional signal-to-noise ratio at subnanometre resolution triggered the development of a range of AFM-related techniques, which used a variety of probes to locally sense interactions and manipulate matter6–7. The unique flexibility of AFM to image, probe and manipulate materials made it the most versatile instrument in nanoscience and nanotechnology, and stimulated numerous discoveries and technologies8. The possibility to operate in liquid environments and at ambient temperature moved AFM towards biology, and led to the analysis of biomolecules and cells at (sub)-nanometre resolution9–11.

To address the wide complexity of biological systems, which can range from nucleic acids and proteins to cells and tissues, a variety of AFM modes have been created over the years (Fig. 1). Major advances in high-resolution imaging have also been achieved in complementary methods, including super-resolution microscopy and cryo-electron microscopy, which enrich the imaging toolbox now available to molecular and cell biologists (Table 1). Many reviews have been published in the past two decades that describe the use of certain AFM imaging modes to characterize biological systems12–14. Here, we aim to provide an overview of the diverse range of imaging modes currently available. We survey the significant steps that led to the establishment of AFM as a powerful technique in molecular and cell biology, and, for each AFM imaging mode, we outline the biological systems they can be preferably applied to, their current limitations and their future opportunities.

Imaging native biological systems in liquid

The key breakthrough that led to biological AFM was the development of an optical detection system, followed by the design of a fluid chamber, enabling imaging in buffer solution and thus maintaining the native state of the biological system15–17. The first AFM imaging mode invented, contact mode, raster scans a tip over the sample and adjusts pixel-by-pixel the height of the tip so that the force applied to the sample is kept constant (Fig. 2a). The resulting height image resembles the sample topography with the resolution depending on the radius of the tip, the sample corrugation, the physical properties of the sample and how precisely the feedback system contours the tip over the soft biological sample.

Shortly after introducing the first commercially available AFM, biological specimens imaged included animal cells18–20, cell membrane patches and membrane proteins25–27, DNA and RNA28, and lipid films29–31. For flat, smoothly corrugated surfaces such as proteins protruding ~1 nm from membranes, contact mode AFM can provide topographs of single membrane proteins at lateral and vertical resolution of <1 nm and <0.1 nm, respectively (Fig. 2b–c) [Au: Fig. 2b–c correct here?]32,33. This exceptionally high resolution and signal-to-noise ratio of AFM allowed, for example, the functionally relevant oligomeric state of various water-soluble and membrane proteins to be unravelled29–31. Operated in the time-lapse contact mode, AFM visualized the morphological dynamics of cells26,27, the growth of pathological amyloid fibrils34, and the enzymatic degradation of DNA35 and lipid membranes36, and provided insight into the working principles of bacterial outer membrane pores37, gap
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junctons enabling intercellular connections between animal cells\textsuperscript{35} and nuclear pore complexes\textsuperscript{36}. Other exciting examples monitored the insertion of pathological toxins into membranes\textsuperscript{37} and the supramolecular architecture of photosynthetic membranes changing in response to light\textsuperscript{38}. Such insight allowed static structural models to be complemented with functional dynamics\textsuperscript{39}.

Although contact mode AFM is widely used to characterize solid substrates, its application to soft biological systems requires expert skills to adjust the force applied to the tip. As a rule of thumb, forces >100 pN should be avoided as they can cause reversible or even irreversible deformations\textsuperscript{39}. Dynamic mode imaging (originally termed tapping or oscillation mode) was invented to minimize the friction and the force applied between tip and sample (Fig. 2a,f–h) [Au: Fig. 2a,f–h correct here?]. In its simplest application, the cantilever is oscillated close to resonance while scanning across a sample\textsuperscript{1}. Ideally, the tip only touches the sample at the very end of its downward movement thus considerably minimizing friction. In close proximity to the sample surface, the interactions between tip and sample change both the cantilever amplitude and resonance frequency allowing them to be used as feedback parameters for contouring fragile biological samples\textsuperscript{40–42}. Using the amplitude as feedback is technically simpler because it requires only one feedback loop compared with using frequency as feedback requiring three such loops. Thus, amplitude modulation AFM is currently more often applied than frequency modulation AFM. Besides these two well-known AFM imaging modes, other dynamic modes have been developed that employ different signals as feedback parameters or excite the cantilever at different frequencies simultaneously (see ‘Multifrequency imaging’)\textsuperscript{42}. Importantly, as dynamic modes considerably reduce force and friction between tip and sample, they can be applied to image biological objects that are only weakly adsorbed to supports, such as DNA, single proteins and filaments\textsuperscript{43–46}. Dynamic modes also allow highly corrugated objects, such as living cells, to be depicted in their unperturbed state\textsuperscript{47}. However, the topographic contrast relies on rather complex interaction mechanisms between the AFM tip and sample. Stiffness, roughness, surface charge and chemistry, or friction of the sample can change the oscillation of the tip and thus alter or even invert the contrast\textsuperscript{48}. To record faithful high-resolution images, it can therefore be helpful to image unknown biological systems in the presence of structurally well-characterized reference samples\textsuperscript{42,47,48}.

Applied to cellular systems, contact and dynamic mode AFM reveal topographs below the resolution limit of conventional light microscopy. The ease of use and the exceptional signal-to-noise ratio quickly raised the hope that AFM would revolutionize live-cell imaging\textsuperscript{43–45}. Yet, only part of the dream came true. For example, the resolution of animal cell surfaces remained generally limited to ~50–100 nm due to their soft and corrugated nature\textsuperscript{2}. In contrast to animal cells, surfaces of microbes, which are mechanically much more rigid and generally smoother, have been routinely imaged approaching a resolution of ~10 nm (refs 49,50). However, polysaccharides of the plasma membrane can contaminate the scanning tip thus changing the image contrast. An elegant approach for imaging living cells and circumventing tip contamination problems is scanning ion conductance microscopy (SICM), which scans a nanopipette over the sample while measuring the ion current\textsuperscript{51–53}. The ion current is then used to control the vertical position of the nanopipette and thus to contour the sample. If adjusted properly, this feedback parameter
The possibility to mechanically cut, pick up, release or sculpt biomolecules at the nanometre-scale resolution of the actomyosin machinery enabled the mapping of the mechanical properties of biological systems.

As further discussed below, several imaging modes have been developed to extract the sample properties while imaging the sample. A versatile and widely distributed approach among these is the FD curve-based imaging mode, which, pixel-by-pixel, approaches the AFM tip to locally measure forces (Fig. 3b).

Modern FD curve-based AFMs (FD-based AFMs) acquire several hundreds of thousands of FD curves while imaging the biological sample. As each FD curve locally quantifies physical properties and interactions, this information can be directly mapped to the sample topography (Fig. 3c). FD-based AFM thus opens the door to imaging complex biological systems and to simultaneously quantifying and mapping their intrinsic physical properties, including elasticity and adhesion (Fig. 3d,e). Although AFM provides an absolute measurement of the tip position (x, y, z), it is often a challenge to determine the exact contact point between tip and sample (zero separation), particularly when long-range surface forces, surface roughness and deformation of the soft biological sample play roles. Knowledge of the contact point is needed to differentiate surface forces from the mechanical deformation of the soft cell. However, for most applications, linearly extrapolating the contact region to zero force is sufficiently accurate (Fig. 3b).

Currently, the most widely used application of FD-based AFM is the mapping of the mechanical properties of biological systems. This is important because pertinent cellular functions rely on mechanical properties. Pioneering contributions applied the method to image and mechanically map drug-induced changes of the cytoskeleton of fibroblasts and to spatially map the stiffness of the actomyosin cortex of adherent cultured cells during cell division. Mapping the viscoelasticity of non-tumourigenic cells and breast tissues showed that they are less deformable compared with cancerous cells and malignant breast tissues, respectively. This led to the conclusion that diseased cellular systems show considerably altered mechanical properties. Imaging and mechanically mapping yeast cells revealed a substantial stiffening of the chitin-accumulating bud scar compared with the surrounding cell wall.

### Table 1 | Comparison of high-resolution imaging techniques in molecular and cell biology.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Technique/feature</th>
<th>Atomic force microscopy</th>
<th>Super-resolution microscopy (STED, PALM, STORM)</th>
<th>Transmission electron microscopy</th>
<th>Scanning electron microscopy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Resolution</td>
<td>≤1 nm–50 nm*</td>
<td>20–50 nm</td>
<td>0.2–10 nm</td>
<td>2–10 nm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sample preparation and environment</td>
<td>Sample on support; physiological (buffer solution, temperature, CO₂)</td>
<td>Fluorescence labelling; physiological (buffer solution, temperature, CO₂)</td>
<td>Sample on grid; dehydrated (negative stain); vitrified (cryo-electron microscopy)</td>
<td>Freeze/critical point drying and metal shadowing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Artefacts</td>
<td>Tip, force, scanning</td>
<td>Bleaching, toxicity</td>
<td>Dehydration, ice crystal formation, beam damage</td>
<td>Dehydration, metal shadowing, beam damage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Advantages</td>
<td>Imaging under native conditions; no staining, labelling or fixation necessary; high signal-to-noise ratio; assessment of multiple physical, chemical and biological parameters</td>
<td>Access to three-dimensional cellular structures; high spatiotemporal resolution; monitoring biomolecular processes in life cells</td>
<td>Solves atomic structures of proteins; conformational snapshots of proteins and complexes; molecular-resolution structures within the cell</td>
<td>Imaging surfaces of tissues, cells and interfaces at nanometre-scale resolution</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Limitations</td>
<td>Restricted to surfaces</td>
<td>Imaging restricted to fluorescence labels</td>
<td>No life processes</td>
<td>No life processes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*On membrane proteins ≤1 nm can be achieved, on mammalian cells 50–50 nm and on microbial cells ≤10 nm. STED, stimulated emission depletion; PALM, photoactivated localization microscopy; STORM, stochastic optical reconstruction microscopy. [Au: please confirm definitions are correct as added]
AFM imaging modes. In contact mode, the cantilever deflection is kept constant (constant force) by adjusting the relative height between tip and sample. A topographic height change alters the cantilever deflection, which a feedback loop corrects by adjusting the tip–sample distance. The dynamic mode oscillates the cantilever close to or at resonance frequency. Height changes alter the cantilever oscillation, which is used to adjust the tip–sample distance. b–e, Contact mode AFM topographs. b, Cyclic nucleotide-regulated potassium channels (MloT-K1) reconstituted into lipid membranes. c, d, Rows of densely packed rhodopsin dimers distributed in the native disc membrane extracted from rod outer segments of the eye. e, Image of a living SAOS-A2 cell bundling and pulling collagen fibrils coating a substrate. To maximize contrast, the exemplified image shows the deflection of the cantilever, which changes while contouring the sample. f–h, Dynamic mode AFM topographs. f, An IgG antibody absorbed to mica and visualized with frequency modulation mode. [Au: please provide a brief description of the inset image, define Fab and explain the arrows] g, Single brome mosaic virus packed in a crystalline assembly. h, Circular plasmid DNA imaged in buffer solution by frequency modulation AFM. [Au: please provide a brief description of the inset image and explain the red and blue arrows and dashed box] Panels adapted from: b, ref. 148, PNAS; c, d, ref. 30, Macmillan Publishers Ltd; e, ref. 147, Elsevier; f, ref. 46, Macmillan Publishers Ltd; g, ref. 146, Elsevier; h, ref. 45, American Chemical Society.

Two interconnected issues in FD-based AFM are the lateral and temporal resolutions. In modern AFMs, the lateral resolution is mainly related to the tip radius, the tip–sample drift, the distance dependence of the tip–sample interaction, imaging force and the properties of the biological sample. Long-range surface forces interacting over several tens of nanometres reduce the resolution at which these interactions can be localized. Technically, when recording an AFM image at a certain frame size, the number of pixels recorded determines the theoretically approachable resolution. However, the amount of pixels and thus the amount of force curves collected per FD-based AFM image is limited by the data acquisition time. In the early days of FD-based AFM, the time required for recording a single force curve was between ~0.1 and 10 s, and the time needed to acquire a FD-based AFM image of 32 pixels × 32 pixels ranged from ~2 min to ~3 h. Until recently, this slow imaging speed strongly limited the use of FD-based AFM imaging in biology, but the introduction of faster piezo elements, feedback loops, data acquisition systems, oscillation modes changing the tip–sample distance, and tailored cantilevers reducing hydrodynamic drag largely solved this problem.

As a consequence, nowadays FD-based AFM can record 512 pixels × 512 pixels multiparametric images of native biosystems with a resolution approaching 1 nm, within time ranges of 15–30 min (ref. 13). For instance, the method can image even individual membrane proteins in their native state at ~1 nm resolution and simultaneously map the mechanical properties of their secondary structures and of interfacing lipids. FD-based AFM also mapped the mechanical properties of heterogeneous lipid membranes and correlated the mechanical properties of human keratinocytes and bacteria to their morphology and state. Applied to viruses, FD-based AFM has shed new light on the relationship between the structural, functional and mechanical properties of herpes simplex viruses, bactériophages, southern bean mosaic viruses and parvovirus minute viruses. Excitingly, FD-based AFM can map various molecular and surface forces from the micro- to nanometre scale, including complex and heterogeneous biological systems. We are now beginning to understand the time dependence of mechanical interactions, and we can measure, for example, the strength of chemical bonds, as well as the mechanical response of biological materials under different loading rates. Although technological improvements have considerably reduced the acquisition time of FD-based AFM images, it remains an important challenge to further increase the imaging speed so that the multiparametric complexity of dynamic molecular and cellular processes can be fully addressed.

Molecular recognition imaging

Soon after introducing FD-based imaging, the idea to map specific chemical and biological properties was born. This approach requires tip–sample interactions to be known, which is facilitated by functionalizing AFM tips with specific chemical groups or ligands. FD curves then allow the adhesion and mechanical strength of specific bonds formed between tip and sample to be measured. Accordingly, FD-based AFM can map such specific forces while imaging the biological system. Chemical tips can be obtained by functionalizing gold-coated tips with self-assembled alkanethiol monolayers terminated by specific functional groups. Alkanethiols functionalized with nitriplotriacetate-terminated groups that attach histidine-tagged biomolecules of interest have been used. Silicon tips can be amino-silanized and reacted with polyethylene glycol linkers, which carry benzaldehyde functions to attach peptides or proteins through lysine residues.

Using functionalized probes, FD-based AFM could detect and localize specific interactions of biological systems ranging from antibodies to living human cells. Biospecific FD-based AFM has proven useful to map receptor sites on animal cells. In an early work, AFM tips bearing the Helix pomatia lectin were used to map N-acetylglactosamine-terminated glycolipids on group A red blood cells. Since then, receptors mapped on animal cells include vitronectin receptors on osteoblasts, prostaglandin receptors on Chinese hamster ovary (CHO) [Au: definition OK?] cells and glycosylphosphatidylinositol-anchored proteins in neuronal membranes. In another example, human G-protein-coupled receptors were imaged in membranes while measuring and mapping their single binding events of native and synthetic ligands. By moving the AFM tip in a nonlinear manner, the unbinding forces of the ligands were measured over a very wide loading rate, which allowed the free-energy landscape of receptors binding to ligands.
Figure 3 | Force–distance curve-based AFM. a, Principle of recording force–distance (FD) curves by approaching (blue) and withdrawing (red) the AFM tip from the sample. The tip of the cantilever is initially away from the sample (1) to which it is brought into contact (2). During retraction (3) of the AFM tip, adhesive events may occur at different distances due to nonspecific (4) or specific (5) interactions between tip and sample. b, FD-based AFM imaging records pixel-by-pixel FD curves while contouring the sample topography. The indentation force \( F_1 \) is controlled and parameters extracted include the tip–sample adhesion force \( F_{adh} \), or elastic and electrostatic properties (by fitting the curve). Parameters can be displayed as coloured maps and correlated to the topography. c, Example of multiparametric FD-based AFM imaging of the elasticity and adhesion of two dividing Staphylococcus aureus cells. d, AFM force error (top) and elasticity (bottom) maps [Au: insertion of ‘top’ and ‘bottom’ correct?] of living HaCaT keratinocytes. e, Topography (left, brown coloured) and stiffness map (top right) of nuclear pore complexes from the cytoplasmic surface. The graph (bottom right) shows the stiffness as a function of tip–sample separation recorded close to the centre of the cytoplasmic ring. [Au: please explain the different coloured lines and the grey dots] f, Top left: topograph of human protease activated receptors 1 (PAR1) in proteoliposomes recorded with a SFLLRN-ligand functionalized tip. Bottom left: overlay of topograph (grey) and adhesive interactions (red) localizes individual receptors binding the ligand. [Au: please provide a brief explanation of the numbered circles] Top right: force–distance curves exemplifying unspecific adhesion events (1 and 2) and specific ligand-receptor unbinding events (3 and 4) showing the stretching of the linker tethering the ligand to the AFM tip. Bottom right: free energy landscape of the ligand binding to PAR1 extracted from measuring the rupture force of the ligand-receptor bond at different loading rates. [Au: please define \( X \), \( \Delta G_{ads} \)] Panels adapted from: c, ref. 79, PNAS; d, ref. 99, Macmillan Publishers Ltd. Panels reproduced from: d, ref. 78, Elsevier; e, ref. 149, Macmillan Publishers Ltd.

A critical issue when analysing adhesion forces detected by FD-based AFM is to proof their specificity and to separate them from unspecific ones. Controls include blocking the specific interactions with antibodies or chemical compounds, and using mutant cells lacking the specific recognition sites. For direct comparison, fluorescein labelled target and mutant cells may be co-cultured, identified by fluorescence microscopy and simultaneously imaged with the functionalized tip. Tip contamination is another problem that needs to be addressed. With complex samples such as living cells, adsorption of loosely bound molecules may quickly change the functionalized tip, making the tip record unknown interactions with the sample. Therefore, before engaging functionalized tips, it is useful to characterize the sample with unmodified tips. Also, one should always keep the applied force below 100 pN.

An alternative to FD-based AFM is topography and recognition imaging (TREC) [Au: definition OK?] imaging, which records topography and specific recognition images at a similar speed to contact mode AFM. This method was used to map the binding sites of cadherins on vascular endothelial cells. TREC oscillates functionalized tips at very small (5–10 nm) amplitudes while scanning the sample. A specific binding event is then detected via an amplitude change. However, as FD curves are not recorded, quantitative information of the molecular binding events is lacking.

to be reconstructed (Fig. 3f). Applied to live bacteria and yeast, the main components of microbial cell walls have been localized and force probed, including peptidoglycans, teichoic acids and cell adhesion proteins. These studies revealed the heterogeneous distribution of microbial cell surface molecules, which is related to the cell state. In addition, the assembly machinery of bacteriophages was imaged on live bacteria and localized near the septum in soft nanodomains surrounded by the stiffer cell wall. Whereas these applications functionalized the AFM tip with one type of biomolecule, a recent approach functionalized the AFM tip with two different ligands to map two binding sites of human G-protein-coupled receptors. Such application opens the door to AFM-based multi-functional recognition imaging.
**Figure 4 | Multifrequency AFM.** a, Scheme of the deflection of the cantilever in bimodal AFM. [Au: please provide labels for this scheme] b, Two eigenmodes of the cantilever are excited and detected. Observables associated with both eigenmodes are recorded to determine sample properties such as flexibility, deformation and viscosity. c, Separation of short-range mechanical forces and long-range magnetic interactions in ferritin. The first eigenmode contours the topography while the second eigenmode detects long-range magnetic forces. By combining both signals, the iron oxide core and the apoferritin shell are separated in the AFM image. d, Top: AFM topograph (xy-frame) of GroEL proteins. Bottom: vertical profile (xz-frame, taken along the red dashed line of the topography) of the hydration layers contouring four GroEL molecules. The dashed red line marks the surface of the GroEL molecules. e, Multifrequency flexural AFM of a bacteriophage Φ29 mature virion. The virion topography (left) is acquired simultaneously with multiharmonic observables images, from which the viscosity map (right) is shown. Images were recorded applying 100 pN. f, False colour electron microscopy image of a T-shaped cantilever designed for torsional harmonic AFM. g, Multifrequency torsional harmonics scheme for probing chemical groups of a protein using DNA labels. A DNA strand attached to the tip interacts with target DNA strands. Complementary sequences show that the uppermost part of the protein shell and of magnetic forces of ferritin is possible because the first eigenmode is more sensitive to short-range repulsive forces while the second eigenmode measured long-range interactions (Fig. 4c)107. Imaging of water layers covering the chaperone GroEL at forces <20 pN exemplifies the potential of bimodal AFM to provide novel insight about sample properties (Fig. 4d)108. Complementary to this frequency modulation, AFM has also been applied to image hydration layers at the water–lipid interface of lipid membranes109.

Multiharmonic AFM excites the cantilever with a single frequency while recording multiple harmonics of the flexural or torsional cantilever motion. Initially, this AFM imaging mode has been applied to measure topography and viscoelastic properties of relatively large biological objects, including viruses and cells (Fig. 4e)110,111. Torsional harmonics allow the topograph of the sample and the time-varying forces to be recorded by integrating the higher harmonics of the torsional movement. These forces quantify the mechanical properties of the sample, including Young's modulus or adhesion. Torsional harmonics also detect interactions in the microsecond range112 and measure recognition forces of chemical groups or protein complexes (Fig. 4e,g)113. However, torsional harmonic AFM requires the use of specially designed T-shaped cantilevers, which are not yet commercially available. This necessity together with the need to use complex algorithms to analyse the harmonics data is currently limiting wider application of the technique.

Accessing the subsurface morphology of complex biological systems has been a longstanding challenge for AFM. Recently, ultrasonic microscopy and dynamic AFM have been combined...
to mechanically excite sample and cantilever, which generates mechanical waves that propagate through the biological sample. Waves mechanically interacting with the inside of the sample change amplitude and phase. Thus, by using the AFM tip to probe these changes pixel-by-pixel can provide the topography and structures beneath. This method shows potential for the imaging of embedded or buried subsurface structures of animal and plant cells. However, at present, subsurface imaging requires the application of relatively large forces (~100 nN), which questions to what extent the structures imaged are representative of a native unperturbed cell. In addition, the use of delocalized ultrasonic waves to generate images of subsurface structures leaves interpretative challenges and limits the spatial resolution. There is thus progress to be made before this AFM imaging mode will be applicable to a broad audience to address pertinent biological problems.

High-speed imaging of biological processes in real time

Compared with fluorescence microscopy, AFM imaging is limited by its rather slow time resolution. In recent years, however, tremendous technological advances have allowed the imaging speed to be increased, thus offering a means to study dynamic molecular processes by high-speed AFM (HS-AFM). Among AFM components, the slowest is the cantilever. Therefore, to achieve high-speed imaging, amplitude modulation AFM, the cantilever’s response time $\tau = Q/f_0$ has to be shortened, with $Q$ being the quality factor and $f_0$ the first resonance frequency of the cantilever in water (Fig. 5b). To increase $f_0$, while keeping the spring constant $k$ small, small cantilevers (100–140 nm thick, 2–5 μm wide and 9–14 μm long) were developed, thereby approaching $f_0 = 100$–650 kHz and $k = 0.1$–0.3 N m$^{-1}$ (refs 72,73). Because the $Q$ value of these small cantilevers approaches ~2 in water, their response time of ~1–6 μs is 40–240-fold shorter.

Figure 5 | HS-AFM filming proteins in action. a, Illustration of filming myosin walking along actin filaments. b–e, Key devices and techniques for HS-AFM. b, Small cantilever with high resonant frequency and small spring constant. c, Fast scanner suppressing impulses generated by quick displacements of piezoelectric X- and Z-scanners. d, Active vibration damping based on $Q$-control with mock Z-scanner. e, Feedback controller with automatic gain tuning for low-invasive high-speed imaging without causing tip-parachuting. f–i, HS-AFM images of proteins in action. f, Bacteriorhodopsin in native purple membrane recorded under dark and illumination at 1 frames per second. White triangles indicate bacteriorhodopsin trimers. Blue triangles indicate ‘trefoils’ that comprise three bacteriorhodopsin monomers, each belonging to an adjacent trimer. Green light was illuminated at 2 s and switched off at 3 s. On illumination, bacteriorhodopsin trimers dilate outwardly, while bacteriorhodopsin monomers contact each other in trefoils. g, Myosin V walking unidirectionally along an actin filament, showing forward rotation of the leading lever-arm on trailing head detachment from actin. [Au: please explain the dashed white lines] h, Rotorless F1-ATPase undergoing conformational changes. Red circles indicate the highest positions of the topographs. Since a nucleotide-free β-subunit protrudes higher than ADP- and ATP-bound ones, it is observed that the unbound state rotates anticlockwise. i, Spiral filament formation by polymerization of the ESCRT-III protein Snf7 on a supported lipid membrane. Panels adapted from: f, ref. 122, Macmillan Publishers Ltd; g, ref. 123, Macmillan Publishers Ltd; h, ref. 124, AAAS; i, ref. 125, Elsevier. [Au: please check all citations of the panels in this figure are correct and indicate where panel a should be cited].
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than conventional cantilevers. At present, small cantilevers with $f_0 = 400–800$ kHz and $k = 0.1–0.2$ N m$^{-1}$ are commercially available. To achieve HS-AFM, it is also important to suppress mechanical vibrations of the Z-scanner, which is moved at much higher frequencies than X- and Y-scanners (Fig. 5c). For this, three approaches were taken; counterbalancing the impulse generated by quick Z-scanner displacements\textsuperscript{73}, designing robust scanner structures\textsuperscript{116,117} and actively damping vibrations based on a $Q$-control technique (Fig. 5d)\textsuperscript{118}. The last component to be noted is a controller that can dynamically tune the feedback gains during imaging to minimize the tip–sample force (Fig. 5e)\textsuperscript{119}. The highest possible imaging rate of HS-AFM as a function of various parameters is quantitatively described elsewhere\textsuperscript{12}. In the early days of HS-AFM, DNA\textsuperscript{72}, the GroEL–GroES chaperonin system [Au: OK?]\textsuperscript{120} and myosin V\textsuperscript{73,121} were observed to evaluate the performance of newly developed devices. Recently, HS-AFM provided unique mechanistic insight into the function of bacteriorhodopsin\textsuperscript{122}, myosin V\textsuperscript{123}, $F_{set}$-ATPase\textsuperscript{124}, endosomal sorting complex required for transport (ESCRT) III\textsuperscript{125} and nuclear pore complexes\textsuperscript{126}. HS-AFM images of the light-driven proton pump bacteriorhodopsin showed that on light illumination, the cytoplasmic
E–F helix portion of each bacteriorhodopsin displaces outwards by ~0.7 nm and contacts bacteriorhodopsins from adjacent trimers (Fig. 5f)\(^{126}\). Myosin V processively walks along actin filaments in a handover-hand manner, resulting in an ~36 nm step for every adenosine triphosphate (ATP) hydrolysed. HS-AFM observations of myosin V interacting with actin provided a direct observation of the process, and visualized the lever-arm swing, which had been hypothesized for a long time (Fig. 5g)\(^{127}\). The results suggested that myosin V steps forward without transitioning through an adenosine diphosphate (ADP)–Pi bound state and, hence, that the actin–myosin binding energy is harnessed to generate the lever-arm swing.

In the rotary motor F\(_2\)-ATPase, the γ subunit rotates in the stator (α\(_2\)), ring on ATP hydrolysis in the catalytic sites mainly located in the β subunits. This rotation is made possible by rotary propagation of three chemical states (empty, ATP-bound and ADP-bound states) and hence corresponding structural states over the β subunits. HS-AFM visualization of γ-less (α\(_2\)), rings revealed that the three states can propagate without the γ subunit (Fig. 5h)\(^{128}\). Therefore, the β–π interplay through the α subunits engenders this cooperativity, ruling out a previous γ-dictator model that the cooperativity would be caused by different γ–β interactions for the three β subunits because of an asymmetric structure of the γ subunit.

Sucrose non-fermenting protein 7 (Snf7), an ESCRT-II protein [Au: OK?], plays a key role in lipid membrane budding and abscission. HS-AFM of Snf7 placed on supported planar lipid bilayers showed concentric spiral filaments (Fig. 5i)\(^{129}\). On disrupting large spirals with the cantilever tip, the broken polymers spontaneously formed smaller rings, suggesting a preferred diameter of 25 nm for Snf7 as well as 'unbending' of the spiral filaments from their natural curvature. Thus, it was proposed that in cellular conditions, energy would be accumulated during the growth of the spiral spring and eventually released through shrinking of the spiral diameter and buckling of the inner spirals, which would cause the membrane to buckle, bud and abscise.

Nuclear pore complexes (NPCs) facilitate the molecular exchange between cytoplasm and nucleus in eukaryotic cells. However, how nucleoporins form a selective barrier facilitating this transport has been unclear. Applying HS-AFM, it became possible to visualize the spatiotemporal dynamics of nucleoporins inside NPCs of Xenopus laevis oocytes at timescales of 100 ms (ref. 126). It was observed that the cytoplasmic orifice is circumscribed by highly flexible, dynamically fluctuating nucleoporins that rapidly elongate and retract. This transient entanglement in the NPC channel manifests as a central plug when averaged in space and time.

Besides these molecular studies, HS-AFM has also been successfully used to observe dynamic processes of live bacteria\(^{127,128}\) and eukaryotic cells\(^{129}\). However, HS-AFM has long relied on scanning the sample stage, which excludes the use of large, heavy sample stages and makes it difficult to combine with optical microscopy. The tip-scan HS-AFM developed very recently will thus significantly expand the applicability to study biological processes by AFM\(^{130}\). Observations of [Au: OK?], for example, living cells cultured in Petri dishes, membrane proteins in suspended membranes and proteins responding to external forces applied by optical tweezers will become possible. Cell biological applications, most of which require the combination of AFM and sophisticated optical techniques (see ‘Correlative imaging’ [Au: please confirm that this is what was meant by ‘next chapter’]), will be made easier. It is also possible to transfer this knowledge to high-speed SICM for studying the dynamics of live cells and isolated intracellular organelles.

**Correlative imaging**

Living cells present a high level of structural and functional complexity. Cell surfaces consisting of thousands of different macromolecules represent a small heterogeneous and dynamic portion of the cellular complexity. It is thus challenging to identify even simple cell surface structures, such as receptors, channels, transporters and assemblies thereof, in topographs recorded by AFM. In such cases, the full potential of AFM is achieved in combination with complementary microscopy techniques that can identify and correlate complex cellular structures of interest\(^{131}\). These complementary techniques include optical microscopy, fluorescence microscopy, confocal microscopy, Förster resonance energy transfer, total internal reflection fluorescence [Au: OK?] and super-resolution microscopy. In most cases, AFM has been adapted to fit to optical microscopes. Environmental chambers allowing cellular systems to be kept in their close-to-native state had to be engineered (Fig. 6a).

Nowadays, such multimicroscopic combinations allow the unique characterization of a wide range of complex biological systems ranging from membranes and cells to tissues.

A popular combination of AFM is either with epifluorescence or confocal microscopy. Exciting applications range from single animal cells, to tissues and microbial cells, and to their assemblies [Au: OK?]. In such studies, structures of interest were fluorescently labelled, optically imaged at micrometre resolution and correlated to AFM topographs contoured at nanometre precision. These approaches identified hitherto unknown supramolecular assemblies of cell surface structures and contributed to the understanding of their function. For example, various steps of the interaction between fungal pathogens and macrophages were captured, including initial cellular contact, fungal cell internalization and hyphal elongation resulting in membrane piercing and escape from the macrophage. While fluorescence imaging distinguished fungal cells from macrophages, AFM revealed biologically relevant nanostructures on both cell types (Fig. 6b,c)\(^ {132}\). AFM has also been used to image cell surface structures, including microvilli, actin ridges and focal adhesions contributed answers to a controversial debate lasting for more than three decades. Combined AFM and confocal microscopy was used to monitor the angiotensin-induced contractile response and cytoskeleton remodelling in human embryonic kidney cells\(^ {133}\). Other examples used confocal microscopy to monitor eukaryotic cells transiently expressing green fluorescent protein–actin, tubulin, vimentin and LaminA, and imaged the mechanical properties of the cytoskeleton and nuclei during early apoptosis\(^ {134}\). AFM was also applied to measure the cell pressure and cortex tension while quantifying the actin and myosin accumulating at the cell cortex by confocal microscopy (Fig. 6e–h) [Au: please confirm that the addition of panel h to this citation is correct]\(^ {135}\). This approach contributed to the understanding of how adherent animal cells facilitate and regulate their rather drastic cell shape changes required to progress through mitosis\(^ {136}\).

As discussed above, cantilevers functionalized with biological molecules, chemical groups or even with living cells can reveal specific sites and their interactions on live cells\(^ {137}\). Applying molecular recognition AFM in conjunction with optical microscopy can reveal a comprehensive picture of the distribution of cell surface receptors and of cell morphology and state. Recent examples include the localization of receptors on CHO cells and endothelial cells\(^ {138}\), and the visualization of the peptidoglycan insertion into the cell wall.
wall of Lactococcus lactis while mapping the distribution of single peptidoglycan molecules on the outermost cell surface using AFM. Molecular recognition AFM and fluorescence microscopy also linked the spatial localization and functional role of cell wall teichoic acids in Lactobacillus plantarum. Polarized-cell-wall organization was found to play a key role in controlling cell morphology. In yeast, both AFM recognition imaging and confocal microscopy demonstrated that agglutinin-like sequence adhesion proteins form nanodomains on live cells through amyloid interactions. Very recently, AFM tips functionalized with single rabies viruses were used to correlate fluorescence images of cell surface receptors to viral binding events to the animal cell. Analysis of the initial binding events revealed that the viral glycoproteins bind cell surface receptors in an allosteric mode until all three binding sites of the trimeric cell surface receptor are occupied and viral fusion can be initiated.

Conclusions

A wealth of AFM imaging modes have been developed to provide multiparametric and multifunctional characterization of biological systems. These methods include the high-resolution imaging of native biostuctures and the simultaneous mapping of mechanical, kinetic and thermodynamic properties, of functional groups and binding sites, of free energy landscapes of ligand-receptor bonds, and of electrostatic properties ranging from charge distributions to ion currents. In recent years, many new AFM imaging modes have been developed, which in principle can be readily applied to biological systems and thus will further extend the variety of information that can be quantified and structurally mapped while imaging complex biological systems. At present, the force sensitivity and thermal stability (drift) of AFM limit the precision at which biological systems can be characterized. Therefore, recently introduced ultrastable AFMs, which provide subpicowatt force precision and high positional stability (<0.03 Å) at extremely low lateral drift (~5 pm min-1)20,20 could potentially be the basis for the development of AFMs for new applications of biological significance. Furthermore, most bio-AFM users currently apply single AFM-imaging modes in their specific field of interest. However, biological systems are complex and require a range of information to be understood. Therefore, we expect that many of the AFM modes discussed here will soon be combined into one instrument and thus into one set of correlated measurements. Such multimodal, multiparametric, multifrequency and high-speed AFM imaging platforms should lead to a more comprehensive understanding of the dynamic, structural, mechanical, chemical and functional heterogeneity of complex biological systems. Together, with advances in complementary techniques (Table 1), this will allow AFM to address outstanding questions in biology in the coming decades.
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This paper showed that attaching a ligand to the AFM stylus allows it to image and map its binding to human G protein-coupled receptors and to reconstruct the ligand-binding free-energy landscape.


This paper reports that AFM maps labelled with biologands can map the distribution of single adhesion proteins on bacterial pathogens and reveal their assembly into nanodomains.


This paper showed that high-speed AFM can be used to watch proteins functioning in real time.
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